UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,680	59,680 12/06/2005 Serge Calamel		0512-1306	3014
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/07/201 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		SCHILLINGER, ANN M		
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA	. 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3774	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Office Action Summary		Арр	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/5	59,680	CALAMEL, SERG	CALAMEL, SERGE			
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		ANN	SCHILLINGER	3774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIAN STATE OF	AILING DATE C of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply vill, by statute, cause t	PF THIS COMMUNICAT no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS he application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the content	·			
Status								
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 02 Sentem	her 2009					
•		b)∏ This action						
′=	, 							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienoeiti	on of Claims	o andor Expan	o quayre, 1000 c.b.	., 100 0.0. 210.				
· ·								
•	P)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn froi	n consideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>15-33</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,					
8)Ш	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted	or b)⊡ objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is r	equired if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:	-	-	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	ee the attached detailed Office action	i ioi a list oi tile	certified copies flot fec	eiveu.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumr	nary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	ГО-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date				
-	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-20, 23, and 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maumy et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,735,901) in view of Willi (US Pat. No. 5,549,696). Maumy et al. discloses the following of the claimed invention: an acetabular implant cup insert for a joint prosthesis, the insert comprising a metal shell or cup (1) and a lining (31) lining the inside space of said shell, a receptacle (please see Fig. 3b) for a prosthetic head being formed in the lining, said shell presenting on its inside space a stud (3) having a groove and a lip (on the threaded portion of element 3) for preventing said lining being extracted from the shell and means for preventing the lining turning in the shell. Maumy et al. also includes depressions (17a) and projections (17) for preventing the lining from turning in the shell.

Maumy et al. does not disclose the insert lining being composed of a polymeric material. Willi teaches a hip prosthesis having a metal outer shell and a polymer cast insert lining that fits with the shell to permanently interlock the lining with the shell in col. 2, lines 40-67 where the plastic lining is a cast polymer that is permanently fitted to the outer shell via element 8. The polymer cast insert lining will improve the wear characteristics of the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3774

made to modify the insert lining of Maumy et al. to be made of a polymer in order to improve the wear characteristics of the device.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, please note that these claims have method limitations in article claims. As these claims are directed to method steps that depend from an article claim, they have been considered in so far as the method further defines the structure of the article.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, please note that it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. *In re Hotte*, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973).

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maumy et al. in view of Willi, further in view of Noble et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,002,580). Maumy et al., as modified by Willi, does not teach the use of ceramics as a liner along the insert because ceramics are biologically inert and serve well as a bearing surface. Noble et al. teaches a prosthetic device with ceramics as a liner in col. 9, lines 9-13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ceramics in the implant because they will not react badly in the patient's body while still providing a strong bearing surface.

Please note that the claims do not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. A claim limitation will be presumed to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, if it meets the following 3-prong analysis: (A) the claim limitations must use the phrase "means for" or "step for;" (B) the "means for" or "step for" must be modified by functional language; and (C) the phrase "means for" or "step for" must not be modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for achieving the specified function. In the instant case, the phrase "means for" has been modified by sufficient structure for achieving the specified function.

Claim Objections

Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-33 have been considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant contends that the groove (element 3 of Figure 2c in Maumy et al.) cannot be disclosed as a stud. However, as stated above and in the previous office action, the embodiment shown in Figures 3a-3b of Maumy et al. are being used to anticipated the current Application. In Figures 3a-3b, element 3 refers to a "connection element" which is shown as a stud.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,680 Page 5

Art Unit: 3774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANN SCHILLINGER whose telephone number is (571)272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Isabella can be reached on (571) 272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/DAVID ISABELLA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3774